

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,128	01/29/2001	Adam W. Divelbiss	REX-0003	9570
75	590 11/20/2003		EXAMINER	
Gerow D. Brill, Esq.			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
Reveo, Inc. 85 Executive Boulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Elmsford, NY 10523			2871	TALER NOMBER
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	Office Action Summary	09/772,128	DIVELBISS ET A	DIVELBISS ET AL.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	0/				
		Dung Nguyen	2871	Hu				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ac	Idress				
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after adaptate term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered time  (HS from the mailing date of this c  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	ly. ommunication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>29 August 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7-10 and 17-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) <u>21-23</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 <i>January 2001</i></u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a)[ 13)□ A si 3 a 14)□ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docade.  2. Certified copies of the priority docade.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for acknowledgment is made of a claim for once a specific reference was included in 7 CFR 1.78.  1. The translation of the foreign languacknowledgment is made of a claim for other common content of the foreign languacknowledgment is made of a claim for other common content of the first sentence was included in the first sentence.	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been of Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). or a list of the certified copies not of domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. on the first sentence of the specifical age provisional application has be domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. of	oplication No received in this National received. § 119(e) (to a provisiona tion or in an Application en received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	I application) Data Sheet. a specific				
Attachment	t(s)							
1) Notic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	.948) 5) Notice of Int	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No( formal Patent Application (PTC					

Application/Control Number: 09/772,128

Art Unit: 2871

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of the species of Invention 1(b) in Paper No. 6 (dated 08/29/2003 is acknowledged.
- 2. By canceled claims 2-6, 11-16 and 24-26, claims 1, 7-10 and 17-23 are now pending in the application.

# Specification

3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## **Drawings**

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the image source (claims 1 and 21) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/772,128

Art Unit: 2871

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deter, US Patent No. 6,309,072, in view of Fergason, US Patent No. 6,243,055.

Regarding claim 1, Deter discloses a projector (figure 2) comprising:

- . an image source (105);
- . a first projector (215) having a first light emission (15);
- . a second projector (216) having a first light emission (16).

Deter does not disclose a first twisted-nematic liquid crystal rotator and a second twisted-nematic liquid crystal rotator disposed in the first and second light emission. Fergason does disclose a twisted-nematic liquid crystal rotator (11) can be disposed in light emission from LCD (20) (see figure 2 and accompanying text). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention was made to modify the Deter's projector having a first and a second twisted-nematic liquid crystal rotator as shown by Fergason in order to switch the optical characteristic of light (e.g., direction of plane polarization) (col. 15, ln.15).

7. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deter, US Patent No. 6,309,072, in view of Fergason, US Patent No. 6,243,055, further in view of Hashimoto et al., US Patent 6,587,165.

Application/Control Number: 09/772,128

Art Unit: 2871

Regarding the above claims, the modification to Deter disclose the claimed invention as described above except for a polysilicon thin film transistor (TFT) liquid crystal display (LCD). Hashimoto et al. disclose the TFT using in an LCD can be typically composed of polysilicon TFT (see col. 1, ln. 28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention was made to employ a polysilicon thin film transistor (TFT) liquid crystal display (LCD) in a projector as shown by Hashimoto et al. since it is a common practice in the art to use a polysilicon TFT for driving pixel electrode in an LCD device.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 21-23 are allowed.
- 9. Claims 17-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

None of the prior art of record discloses or suggests alone or in combination that a system for displaying three-dimensional imagery comprising a first projector that linearly polarizes light emitted from a first red light source and a first blue light source and orthogonally polarizes light emitted from a first green light source with respect to the light emitted from the first red light source and the first blue light source; a second projector that linearly polarizes light emitted from a second red light source and a second blue light source and orthogonally polarizes light emitted from a second green light source with respect to the light emitted from the second red light

Art Unit: 2871

source and the second blue light source; and a green channel of a first image source is interchanged with a green channel of a second image source as set forth in claims 17 and 21.

### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0423. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

DN 11/17/2003 Dung Nguyen
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2871

Page 5